

# basic education

Department: Basic Education **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA** 

# ENGINEERING GRAPHICS AND DESIGN

# GUIDELINES FOR PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT TASKS

# 2014

These guidelines consist of 24 pages.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### INTRODUCTION

#### **SECTION A** (Teacher Guidelines)

- 1. The structure of the PAT Elements that make up the final PAT mark
- 2. Administration of the PAT
- 3. Assessment and moderation of the PAT
  - 3.1 Assessment
  - 3.2 Moderation
  - 3.3 Declaration of Authenticity

### **SECTION B** (Learner Tasks)

PAT 1 A Civil Design Project

PAT 2 A Mechanical Design Project

### A SIMPLIFIED RUBRIC FOR THE ALLOCATION OF MARKS

### **ANNEXURE A** Rubric for assessing the design process

**ANNEXURE B** Rubric for assessing the correctness of drawings

### **ANNEXURE C** Rubric for assessing drawing-method skills and presentation

**ANNEXURE D** Rubric for assessing CAD drawing skills

### 2014 SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT SHEET

### **DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY**

### INTRODUCTION

The seventeen CAPS subjects which contain a practical component all include a Practical Assessment Task (PAT). These subjects are:

- AGRICULTURE: Agricultural Management Practices, Agricultural Technology
- ARTS: Dance Studies, Design, Dramatic Arts, Music, Visual Arts
- HSS: Life Orientation
- SCIENCES: Computer Applications Technology, Information Technology
- SERVICES: Consumer Studies, Hospitality Studies, Tourism
- TECHNOLOGY: Civil Technology, Electrical Technology, Engineering Graphics and Design, Mechanical Technology

A PAT allows the teacher to directly and systematically observe applied competence. The PAT comprises the application of knowledge and values and the demonstration and performance of skills particular to that subject and counts 25% (i.e. 100 marks) of the total National Senior Certificate (NSC) mark out of 400.

The Grade 12 PAT is implemented across the first three terms of the school year and should be undertaken as one extended task, which is broken down into different phases or a series of smaller activities that make up the PAT. The planning and execution of the PAT differ from subject to subject.

SECTION A contains the guidelines to the teacher, describing the structure and the administration of the PAT, while SECTION B contains the tasks and the assessment tools for both the learner and the teacher.

# SECTION A (Teacher Guidelines)

### 1. The structure of the Practical Assessment Task (PAT) for EGD

As the Engineering Graphics and Design (EGD) **PAT** is a **compulsory national formal assessment task** that contributes 25% (i.e. 100 marks) towards a learner's final NSC mark, it is essentially the **third NSC examination paper** of EGD. All the **presentation requirements must** therefore **be adhered to and**, with the exception of the required research, **completed at school**, under the supervision of the EGD teacher. **Each learner** must **complete the PAT individually** and ALL the presentations must be **his/her own original work**.

The primary purpose of the EGD PAT is to assess four subjective content and concept topics which are not assessed in the examination papers. These are:

- The design process
- The application of drawing knowledge and drawing skills to the design process
- CAD management and drawings
- The quality and neatness of free-hand, instrument and CAD drawings.

The EGD PAT is therefore designed to develop a learner's ability to integrate and apply knowledge and to demonstrate acquired levels of skills and competency. With the inclusion of the PAT into EGD, the learner is given an opportunity to apply acquired knowledge, skills and values in a creative way through the design process. The learner is given an opportunity to complete the PAT in an environment which is more conducive to the creative processes. This environment should therefore provide the learner with easier access to, and a wider variety of, resource material than would be available in a formal examination.

The various components of the EGD PAT gives the learner an opportunity to demonstrate the level of drawing skill that has been attained in all the appropriate drawing methods through the presentation of the required drawings.

Each EGD PAT consists of two parts: Part A: The Design Process Part B: Required working and pictorial drawings

Part A of both PATs requires that the learner demonstrates a clear understanding of, and is able to apply, the design process. As part of the design process, the learner must be able to:

- Identify the problem(s) and formulate a design brief with specifications and constraints
- Conduct and make use of relevant external research in an appropriate way
- Generate a number of own ideas/concepts/solutions analytically and graphically through comprehensive free-hand drawings
- Select a final solution(s) that demonstrates a clear understanding of the design brief within the context of the specifications and constraints
- Present the final solution(s) as working and pictorial drawings
- Provide clear evidence of continuous self-evaluation during the development of the PAT

Part B of both PATs requires that the learner demonstrates and provides evidence of a high level of knowledge and understanding of the concepts and content of Engineering Graphics and Design through the presentation of orthographic drawings and pictorial drawings.

Part A and Part B of both PATs also give the learner the opportunity to demonstrate that a high level of competency and skill has been attained in the following required EGD drawing methods:

- Freehand drawings prepared in pencil
- Instrument drawings prepared in pencil
- Using a CAD (Computer-aided Drawing/Design) system

Two Practical Assessment Tasks (PATs) are included in this document:

- PAT 1 is a design task in the context of civil technology.
- PAT 2 is a design task in the context of mechanical technology.

Each learner must, with the guidance of the teacher, **select ONE** of the PATs contained in this document. Should the learner choose to complete both PATs, only ONE will be considered for summative assessment and promotion purposes.

### Elements that make up the PAT mark for Engineering Graphics and Design

ELEMENTS OF THE MARK FOR THE PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT TASK								
ELEMENT	MARK							
The <b>design process</b>	25							
The correctness of the working and pictorial drawings	50							
The drawing methods (freehand, instrument and CAD)	25							
TOTAL	100							

### 2. Administration of the PAT

At the beginning of the academic year, the EGD teacher must ensure that **every Grade 12 learner receives a copy of the entire SECTION B** of the PAT document, i.e. **ALL the pages from page 8 to page 24.** 

ALL the completed PATs must be submitted in time for summative assessment to be done before the commencement of provincial moderation in the **third term.** The PATs must therefore be **completed in the following phases during the first three terms**:

- **Phase 1:** Design Process (completed by the end of the **1**<sup>st</sup> **term**)
- **Phase 2:** Presentation Drawings (completed by the end of the **2<sup>nd</sup> term**)
- Phase 3: Completion of portfolio (before the commencement of moderation in the 3<sup>rd</sup> term)

Although the phases could be done either CYCLICALLY or in BLOCK TIMES, it is recommended that ONE ENTIRE DAY per term be allocated for each phase for example during the examinations.

The teaching/period time that may be allocated for the completion of all three phases of the PAT is **12 hours to a maximum of 16 hours**. Additional non-teaching-/non-period time may, however, also be allocated for the completion of the PAT at the school. However, the total maximum time for the completion of all the phases of the PAT should not exceed **20 hours**.

To ensure that the PAT is completed within the stipulated time, it is essential that the teacher draw up a PAT **pace setter/management plan** for the learners at the beginning of the year. Attached to the pace setter/management plan must be target dates for the completion of the different components of the different phases. This will help learners to assess their own progress and teachers to set up intervention programmes.

### NOTE:

- ALL the presentation requirements of the selected PAT must be adhered to and, with the exception of the required research, completed at school, under guidance and supervision from the EGD teacher, who must observe the learners' progress at all times.
- Not adhering to this instruction will be deemed an examination irregularity.

### 3. Assessment and moderation of the PAT

### 3.1 Assessment

Frequent developmental feedback is needed to guide and give support to each learner and to ensure that each learner is on the right track.

Both formal and informal assessment should be conducted throughout the development of the PAT. Informal assessment can be conducted by the learner, a peer, a group of learners or by the teacher. However, the teacher must conduct ALL the formal assessment, by using the official 2014 summative assessment sheet, and record the results on the official mark sheets himself/herself.

The completed PAT must be submitted in time for final formal assessment to be done before the commencement of provincial moderation. Once the PAT has been formally assessed, the teacher must retain the PAT for the purpose of external moderation. All the PATs must also be retained at the school for the period of time as prescribed by the Provincial Departments of Education.

### 3.2 Moderation

Monitoring and/or moderation of the PAT can take place at any time during the development of the PAT. ALL completed presentation requirements of the PAT must therefore always be available at the school. During a moderation process, the moderator will randomly select the PAT files/portfolios that will be moderated. To assist the process of the final provincial moderation, the teacher must supply the moderator with a completed mark sheet(s) and a merit list(s).

During the moderation process, learners may be called upon to explain the functions and principles of operating a CAD system and to demonstrate drawing skills through performing capability tasks.

### 3.3 Declaration of authenticity

Prior to the final submission of the PAT for formative assessment, ALL the learners and the teacher must complete the Declaration of Authenticity as laid out on the final page of this document.

### NOTE:

Only the official 2014 SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT SHEET (page 23) and the completed DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY form (page 24) of this document must be included in the front of the learner's completed PAT file/portfolio.

### ENGINEERING GRAPHICS AND DESIGN

### 2014 GRADE 12 PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT TASK (PAT)

### SECTION B (Learner Tasks)

### **GENERAL INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS:**

- The EGD PAT is a **compulsory national formal assessment task** that contributes 25% towards your final National Senior Certificate (NSC) mark.
- This **document contains TWO PATs**, i.e. a civil design project (PAT 1) and a mechanical design project (PAT 2). **You, the learner,** with the guidance of your EGD teacher, **must select ONE** of the PATs contained in this document.
- ALL the **presentation requirements** of the selected PAT **must be adhered to and**, with the exception of the required research, **completed at school**, under the supervision of your EGD teacher.
- The PAT must be **completed individually** and ALL the presentations, including the front page and index, must be **your own original work**.
- The PAT must be **completed in phases and within the given time frame** of your teacher's PAT pace setter/management plan.
- ALL freehand drawings and instrument drawings must be prepared in pencil.
- Untidy and messy work, as well as the late submission of presentation requirements, will be penalised.

### PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT TASK 1

### A CIVIL DESIGN PROJECT

#### SCENARIO

According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS), South Africa produced 53 million metric tons of iron ore in 2009, making it the world's seventh largest producer. It also estimated, in 2009, that South Africa had 1 000 million tonnes of crude ore reserves and 650 million metric tonnes of iron content reserves.

The Kuruman and Kathu region in the Northern Cape is currently experiencing economic growth due to the expansion of iron ore mining. Employment opportunities have given rise to the influx of hundreds of new families to the region. The migration of these families comes with many new challenges, such as the need for housing, infrastructure and schools.

The mining companies in the region have also acknowledged the need to develop **daycare centres** for **children between the ages of 2 and 5 years** and have therefore indicated that they are willing to invest money and resources. To this end, a property on the corner of Deon Street and Theo Avenue in one of the mining developments has been earmarked for this venture. The property currently has an old house, a garage and a swimming pool.

The mining companies have placed an advertised for proposals to be submitted for the building and development of a **modern day-care centre**, which must adhere to official regulations and requirements, on the property.

### Given:

The site plan of the property with the existing buildings and swimming pool.

### NOTE:

Any details or dimensions not given may be assumed in good proportion.



### Specifications for the day-care centre:

### NOTE:

 $\geq$ 

ALL existing buildings and features may be demolished and/or removed.

### Specification for the building:

- It must adhere to ALL the official regulations and requirements.
- It must be a modern single storey structure(s). •
- The entire building must have sufficient natural and/or artificial lighting. •
- There must be **adequate ventilation** throughout the building. •
- It must be **big enough** to accommodate **100 children** (about 50 boys and 50 girls).
- It must include the followina:
  - FOUR classrooms so that each age group can be accommodated separately.  $\geq$ Each classrooms must make provision for the following:
    - Sufficient space so that it can be used as a classroom and a playroom 0
    - An area where the children can sleep in the afternoons 0
    - Additional hand wash facilities 0
  - > Separate and age appropriate toilet facilities for the children
  - A facility where the younger children can be washed or bathed
  - An administrative office(s)
  - $\geq$ A **sickbay** that makes provision for the following:
    - It must be able to accommodate at least FOUR children 0
    - It must be directly accessible from the administrative office(s) 0
    - **A staffroom** that makes provision for the following:
      - Sufficient space to accommodate ALL the staff members 0
      - A locker for each staff member
      - Separate toilet facilities
      - A kitchenette
  - A kitchen with the following:  $\triangleright$ 
    - Sufficient space to prepare and serving light meals for the children 0
    - The kitchen equipment needed to prepare the light meals 0
    - Kitchen units for all the groceries, crockery and cutlery
    - o A large refrigerator
    - A separate storage area for all cleaning equipment and materials
    - Dishwashing facility 0
  - An additional covered play area on the same side as the playground  $\geq$

### Specifications for the playground and site:

- It must adhere to ALL the official regulations and requirements.
- The playground must be equipped with sufficient and age appropriate playground • equipment.
- Additional hand wash facilities •
- There must be carports for the staff members. •
- There must be controlled access. .
- There must be sufficient security. •

### Presentation requirements for the PAT:

### Create a PAT file/portfolio containing:

- a. A complete **cover page**
- b. An index
- c. The **2014 SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT SHEET** (see page 23)
- d. The completed **DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY** (see page 24)

### NOTE:

The cover page and index should only be generated during phase 3 of the PAT.

# **Present the following design process requirements in the PAT file/portfolio** after the DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY:

### NOTE:

Include the following on each page of each design process requirement:

- > Clear **numbering** in accordance with the numbers of the presentation requirements
- > Your (the learner's) name
- > The date of completion and submission
- 1. Identify the primary and secondary problem(s) and formulate a comprehensive design brief.

Include your own extended and comprehensive list of specifications and constraints.

- 2. Conduct your own research on:
  - Official regulations and requirements for day-care centres
  - Layouts of day-care centres
  - Age appropriate playground equipment and layouts of playgrounds
  - Environmentally-friendly cooling systems and/or design features NOTE:
    - > The research must be **relevant** and **usable**.
    - Evidence of ALL the relevant resource material used must be presented as proof that the required research has been done.
    - > There must be clear evidence that the research has been used.
    - Include a list of ALL references (bibliography).
- 3. Generate THREE detailed self-explanatory freehand drawings of THREE possible design solutions for the day-care centre. The freehand drawings must show dimensions, labels and notes, as well as the correct presentation of ALL the features.

### NOTE:

- These drawings must provide clear evidence that a high level of competency has been attained in freehand drawings as one of the required EGD drawing methods.
- > All the drawings must comply with the SANS (SABS) 0143 Guidelines.
- 4. Select the best solution, which demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the design brief within the context of the specifications and constraints, by evaluating and comparing the possible design solutions of the THREE freehand drawings. Include a summary of the reasons for selecting the solution.

- 5. Present the selected solution as a set of working drawings and a pictorial drawing (5.1, 5.2 and 5.3) that adhere to the following:
  - All the **working drawings** must be presented on appropriately sized drawing sheets, correctly set up with borders and **complete civil title panels**.
  - The drawings must provide clear evidence that a high level of competency has been attained in the following **TWO** required **EGD drawing methods**:
    - Instrument drawings
    - CAD (Computer-aided Drawing/Design)

NOTE:

- ONE working drawing (i.e. 5.1 or 5.2) must be prepared as an instrument drawing and the other by using a CAD system.
- The perspective drawing (5.3) may be prepared either as an instrument drawing or by using a CAD system.
- Schools that do not have CAD facilities must prepare all the required drawings (5.1, 5.2 and 5.3) as instrument drawings.
- All title panels and drawings must comply with the SANS 10143 Guidelines.
- 5.1 Make a detailed layout drawing of the proposed building for the day-care centre, clearly showing all the features. The drawing must show a minimum of FOUR orthographic views drawn to a suitable scale(s).

The views must include:

- 5.1.1 The floor plan
- 5.1.2 A sectional elevation
- 5.1.3 **TWO elevations**, showing the **front view** and **a side view**

# The following must be included on all relevant views:

- ALL sanitary, kitchen and other permanent fixtures
- ALL electrical fittings and the wiring detail
- Waste-water disposal systems (sewerage)
- Labels, notes and fixture codes
- Scale(s)
- Dimensions
- Cutting plane(s)
- All hatching detail

**5.2** Make a detailed **site plan** drawn to a suitable scale.

### The following must be included:

- ALL proposed structures that will be part of the day-care centre
- ALL existing buildings and features that must be demolished and/or removed
- ALL services, sewerage and drainage connections
- Electrical supply
- The controlled access, driveways, parking areas and carports
- The complete layout of the playground
- Labels, notes and fixture codes
- Scale
- Dimensions and corner heights

**NOTE:** The site plan may contain artistic features.

**5.3** Make a detailed **two-point perspective drawing** that will give the viewer a playground view **of the proposed building** of the day-care centre. The horizon line (HL) must be placed 1 metre above the ground in order to produce a child's eye view.

## Evidence of the following must be included together with the drawing:

- All views/drawings used to produce the drawing
- The construction/method used to produce the drawing

# NOTE:

A copy of the perspective drawing, which may contain artistic features, should be used as the picture for the cover page of the PAT file/portfolio.

6. Provide clear evidence, in the form of a **checklist(s)**, of **continuous self-evaluation** of the **entire process** and of the **meeting** of all the **deadlines** during the development of the PAT.

### Assessment criteria

The following assessment tools will be used to assess the PAT:

- The rubric in ANNEXURE A for assessing the **design process**. This mark will contribute **25 marks** to the final PAT mark.
- The rubric in ANNEXURE B for assessing the **correctness** of the **presentation drawings**.

This mark will contribute **50 marks** to the final PAT mark.

 The rubric in ANNEXURE C for assessing the drawing methods, i.e. drawing technique, the quality of line work, printing, dimensioning et cetera. This mark will contribute 25 marks to the final PAT mark.

### PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT TASK 2

### A MECHANICAL DESIGN PROJECT

### SCENARIO

You are a member of a team of industrial designers that are employed by a firm that specialises in providing mechanical and industrial design services on **mechanical parts/components** contained within **CHILDREN'S TOYS**.

The designers are tasked with investigating and analysing the design features of an existing toy and to come up with new or improved ideas. The improvement(s) to the toy could be one or more of the following:

- To improve efficiency
- To strengthen its current design
- To simplify its application
- To make it safer

### The PAT requires the following stages:

• The first stage involves **selecting/finding a suitable TOY**, which must include **mechanical movement** as part of its operation/function. The toy must be an **assembly** consisting of a **minimum** of **FOUR different parts/components**, for example toy graders, locomotives, dump trucks, cranes, accessories for dolls et cetera.

#### NOTE:

It is not required of you to purchase a new toy. The selected toy should therefore be something that is **already available to you**.

- The second stage involves the **dismantling** of the selected toy so that **all the mechanisms and parts/components** can be **revealed**, **investigated** and **measured**.
- The third stage involves the identification of ONE of the main components or combination of components of the selected toy which could be improved, modified or redesigned in some way. This will necessitate the application of the Design Process, as stipulated by the presentation requirements for this PAT.

### Specifications for the selected toy:

- Your teacher must approve the toy in order to ensure that it meets all the requirements and that it is of an appropriate higher-order Grade 12 complexity.
- The toy must be suitable for **pre-intermediate phase children**, i.e. **younger than 10 years**.
- The toy must be an **assembly** consisting of a **minimum** of **FOUR different parts/ components**.
- The toy must include mechanical movement as part of its operation/function.
- The toy or detailed photographs of the toy, if it is too large, must be submitted as part of the PAT presentation requirements.

### Presentation requirements for the PAT:

### Create a PAT file/portfolio containing:

- a. A complete **cover page**
- b. An **index**
- c. The **2014 SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT SHEET** (see page 23)
- d. The completed **DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY** (see page 24)

### NOTE:

The cover page and index should only be generated during phase 3 of the PAT.

# **Present the following design process requirements in the PAT file/portfolio** after the DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY:

### NOTE:

Include the following on each page of each design process requirement:

- > Clear **numbering** in accordance with the numbers of the presentation requirements
- > Your (the learner's) name
- > The date of completion and submission
- 1. Identify the primary and secondary problem(s) and formulate a comprehensive design brief.

Include your own extended and comprehensive list of specifications and constraints.

- 2. Conduct your own **research** on:
  - All the materials that are used for the parts/components of the selected toy
  - Specific design features and/or function (purpose) of each individual part /component of the selected toy
  - At least THREE other toys and/or products that perform a similar operation/function as the toy you selected, but that differs in terms of design **NOTE:** 
    - > The research must be **relevant** and **usable**.
    - Evidence of ALL the relevant resource material used must be presented as proof that the required research has been done.
    - > There must be clear evidence that the research has been used.
    - Include a list of ALL references (bibliography).
- 3. Generate THREE detailed self-explanatory freehand drawings that will clearly show THREE different possible improvements, modifications or redesigns to the identified main component(s) of the selected toy.

The freehand drawings must show **dimensions**, **labels** and **notes**, as well as the **correct presentation** of ALL the **features**.

### NOTE:

- These drawings must provide clear evidence that a high level of competency has been attained in freehand drawings as one of the required EGD drawing methods.
- > All the drawings must comply with the SANS (SABS) 10111 Guidelines
- 4. Select the best solution/improvement/modification/redesign, which demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the design brief within the context of the specifications and constraints, by evaluating and comparing the possible design solutions of the THREE freehand drawings.

Include a **summary** of the reasons for selecting the solution.

Copyright reserved

- 5. Present the selected toy and solution as a set of working drawings and a pictorial drawing (5.1, 5.2 and 5.3) that adhere to the following:
  - All the **working drawings** must be presented on appropriately sized drawing sheets, correctly set up with borders and **complete civil title panels**.
  - The drawings must provide clear evidence that a high level of competency has been attained in the following **TWO** required **EGD drawing methods:** 
    - Instrument drawings
    - CAD (Computer-aided Drawing/Design)

### NOTE:

- ONE working drawing (i.e. 5.1 or 5.2) must be prepared as an instrument drawing and the other by using a CAD system.
- The perspective drawing (5.3) may be prepared either as an instrument drawing or by using a CAD system.
- Schools that do not have CAD facilities must prepare all the required drawings (5.1, 5.2 and 5.3) as instrument drawings.
- All title panels and drawings must comply with the SANS 10143 Guidelines.
- **5.1** Make an **assembly drawing** of the **selected toy** clearly showing all the parts **before** any improvements, modifications or redesigns have been effected. The drawing must show a **minimum** of **FOUR appropriate** orthographic views drawn to a suitable scale.

The views must include:

- 5.1.1 The front view
- 5.1.2 A second primary (main) view
- 5.1.3 Any **TWO** other **secondary views**

NOTE: TWO of the views must be sectioned or contain types of section.

### The following must be included:

- Scale
- Dimensions
- Labels and notes
- Cutting planes
- All hatching detail
- **5.2** Make a **detailed drawing** of the **identified main component(s)** of the toy, clearly showing the **selected solution/improvement/modification/redesign**. The drawing must show a **minimum** of **THREE appropriate** orthographic views drawn to a suitable scale.

The views must include:

5.2.1 The front view

5.2.2 Any **TWO** other **views** 

NOTE: ONE of the views must be sectioned or contain types of section.

### The following must be included:

- A comprehensive list of explanatory labels and notes
- Relevant welding and/or machining symbols
- Scale
- Dimensions
- Cutting plane(s)
- All hatching detail

- NSC
- **5.3** Make a detailed **isometric drawing** of the selected toy or of the improved, modified or redesigned main component(s) of the toy, drawn to a suitable scale.

### Evidence of the following must be shown:

- All views/drawings used to produce the drawing
- The constructions/methods used to produce the drawing **NOTE:**
- Include relevant labels and notes.
- A copy of the isometric drawing, which may contain artistic features, should be used as the picture for the cover page of the PAT file/portfolio.
- 6. Provide clear evidence, in the form of a **checklist(s)**, of **continuous self-evaluation** of the **entire process** and of the **meeting** of all the **deadlines** during the development of the PAT.

### Assessment criteria

The following assessment tools will be used to assess the PAT:

- The rubric in ANNEXURE A for assessing the **design process**. This mark will contribute **25 marks** to the final PAT mark.
- The rubric in ANNEXURE B for assessing the **correctness** of the **presentation drawings**.

This mark will contribute **50 marks** to the final PAT mark.

• The rubric in ANNEXURE C for assessing the **drawing methods**, i.e. **drawing technique**, the quality of **line work**, **printing**, **dimensioning et cetera**. This mark will contribute **25 marks** to the final PAT mark.

# A SIMPLIFIED RUBRIC FOR THE ALLOCATION OF MARKS

MARK ALLOCATION for all aspects/criteria of the PAT										
DESCRIPTION FOR MARK	GENERAL INDICATOR	± %	MARK							
ALL/MORE than ALL the REQUIREMENTS are met. - PERFECT -	Error free	100%	10							
ALL (ALMOST ALL) the REQUIREMENTS are met. - OUTSTANDING -	Very few errors	90% +	9							
ALMOST ALL (MOST OF) the REQUIREMENTS are met. - VERY GOOD -	Few errors	80% +	8							
The REQUIREMENTS are <b>SUBSTANTIALLY</b> met. - GOOD -	Somo orroro	70% +	7							
The REQUIREMENTS are <b>ADEQUATELY</b> met. - SATISFACTORY -	Some errors	60% +	6							
The REQUIREMENTS are <b>MODERATELY</b> met. - ACCEPTABLE -	Manual	50% +	5							
ONLY <b>SOME</b> of the REQUIREMENTS are met. - UNACCEPTABLE -	wany errors	40% +	4							
VERY FEW of the REQUIREMENTS are met. - NOT ACHIEVED -	Mostly wrong	<b>30% +</b> Only a few correct features	3							
The REQUIREMENTS are <b>NOT</b> met.	Completely	29% & LESS	2							
- VERY POOR -	wrong	Something done very wrongly/poorly	1							
NOT DONE!	No work handed in!	Nothing to mark!	0							

# ANNEXURE A

# RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING THE DESIGN PROCESS

LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE												
MARK	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
ALLOCATION	100%	99%–90%	89%-80%	79%–70%	69%–60%	59%–50%	49%–40%	39%–30%	29%–20%	19%–1%	0%	
1. A <b>design brief</b> demonstrating a clear understanding of the scenario with a list of the <b>specifications</b> and <b>constraints</b>	The <b>design b</b> of the <b>specif</b> demons <b>comprehe</b>	rief with a comp ications and the strating an in-de ensive understan scenario	rehensive list constraints oth and ding of the	The <b>design brief</b> with a <b>complete</b> or <b>incomplete</b> list of the <b>specifications</b> and the <b>constraints</b> demonstrating a <b>satisfactory</b> understanding of the scenario			The <b>design brief</b> v an incomplete list o and/or the <b>constra</b> an <b>elementary</b> un scer	with the possibility of f the <b>specifications</b> <b>ints</b> demonstrating iderstanding of the nario	A <b>design brief</b> with either a very vague or no list of <b>specifications</b> and/or <b>constraints</b> demonstrating <b>little</b> or <b>no</b> understanding of the scenario			
2. Evidence of <b>relevant</b> <b>'external' research</b> with the inclusion of a <b>bibliography</b>	Shows evider relevant 'ex within the compr	nce of in-depth a ternal' research final solution as ehensive bibliog	nd <b>thorough</b> that is <b>used</b> s well as a graphy	Shows evidence of satisfactory relevant 'external' research of which some is used within the final solution as well as a satisfactory bibliography			Shows evidence of which little to none final solution bibliog	limited research of e is used within the with a limited graphy	Shows very little evidence of any research or research that is inappropriate with little to no bibliography			
3. THREE Freehand drawings of detailed possible solutions	NOTE: Also a	NOTE: Also assess each possible solution's 'design' according to the specifications, constraints and the relevant 'external' research!										
	The <b>possibl</b> logically and c <b>dime</b> r ALL the <b>fe</b> a	e solutions are omprehensively nsions and note atures presente	very clearly, presented with s with d correctly	The <b>possible solutions</b> are clearly presented with <b>dimensions</b> and <b>notes</b> with most <b>features presented correctly</b>			The possible solut presented with no notes with only presented	tions are not clear dimensions and some features I correctly	Shows little to no possible solutions			
4. Selecting the final/best solution which demonstrates a clear understanding of the design brief	A thorough selection process and a final/best solution that demonstrates a clear in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the design brief (correctness/functionality/practicality of design)			A substantial selection process and a final solution that demonstrates a satisfactory understanding of the design brief			An <b>incomplete</b> <b>process</b> and a <b>fi</b> demonstrates a <b>lim</b> of the <b>de</b>	or <b>no selection</b> Inal solution that Inted understanding sign brief	No selection process and a final solution that demonstrates little to no understanding of the design brief			
<ol> <li>Clear evidence of continuous self- evaluation and the meeting of deadlines of all the requirements of the PAT</li> </ol>	Clear evidence self-evaluatio PAT and all the	e of continuous <b>c</b> on on of all the requi e requirements w on the <b>due dates</b>	omprehensive rements of the ere handed in	Evidence of <b>satisfactory self-evaluation of</b> most of the requirements of the PAT and most of the requirements were <b>handed in</b> by the <b>extension date</b>			Evidence of <b>limiter</b> some of the requir and <b>few</b> deadlines w <b>dates were misse</b> were <b>ha</b>	d self-evaluation of ements of the PAT vere met. Extension d but most stages nded in.	Little or no evidence of any self- evaluation shown and none of the deadlines were met			
7. The presentation of the complete PAT file/portfolio	All the required presentations of the PAT are complete and neatly presented in the prescribed sequence in the PAT file/portfolio Most of the required presentations of the PAT are complete and neatly presented in the prescribed sequence in the PAT file/portfolio				Some of the require the PAT are comple a file/p	ed presentations of ete and presented in ortfolio	Very fe presentatio poo	w of the <b>requir</b> ns are comple rly presented	ed te and			

# **ANNEXURE B**

# RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING CORRECTNESS OF THE WORKING AND PICTORIAL DRAWINGS

LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE														
MARK ALLOCATION		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		
		100%	99%–90%	89%-80%	79%–70%	69%–60%	59%–50%	49%–40%	39%–30%	29%–20%	19%–1%	0%		
All drawing sheets are appropriately set up with a <b>border</b> and an <b>appropriate</b> <b>title block/panel.</b>			All drawing sheets are appropriately set up with more than the minimum requirements.			Most of the drawing sheets are appropriately set up with the minimum requirements.			Only <b>some</b> of th are set up <b>wit</b> <b>minimum r</b>	ne drawing sheets t <b>h less</b> than the <b>equirements</b> .	Little or no page set up is evident.			
		NO cor	TE: Assess each view rect EGD drawing pri	's <b>accuracy</b> ncipals	, correctness	and design	(where releva	nt for PAT 1) a	according to t	he selected solut	ion (PAT 1 only), th	e stipulated	requirements	and
thographic drawings		5.1.1	View 1 PAT 1: Plan PAT 2: Front view	The vie <b>require</b>	ew meets the <b>n</b> nents and has errors.	ninimum s no/a few	The view mo <b>requirem</b>	eets most of th ents but conta errors.	ie <b>minimum</b> ains <b>some</b>	The view conta <b>minimum rec</b> contains <b>n</b>	ins less than the quirements and nany errors.	Little or no evidence of the required view		
	5.1	5.1.2	View 2 PAT 1: Section PAT 2: 2 <sup>nd</sup> main view	The vie <b>require</b>	ew meets the <b>n</b> nents and has errors.	ninimum s no/a few	The view mo <b>requirem</b>	eets most of th ents but conta errors.	e <b>minimum</b> ains <b>some</b>	The view conta minimum rec contains n	ins less than the quirements and nany errors.	Little or no evidence of the required view		
		5.1.3	View 3 PAT 1: 2 elevations PAT 2: 2 secondary views	The vie <b>requiren</b>	ews meet the <b>n</b> nents and have errors.	ninimum e no/a few	The views n requiren	neet most of th nents but conta errors.	ie <b>minimum</b> ain <b>some</b>	The views cont <b>minimum rec</b> contain <b>m</b>	ain less than the quirements and any errors.	Little or no evidence of the required view		
0		NO	IOTE: Assess each view's accuracy, correctness and design (PAT 1) according to the selected solution, the stipulated requirements and correct EGD drawing principals											ncipals.
	5.2	PA Site PA Det	AT 1: te plan AT 2: tetailed drawing meets the minimum requirements and has no/a few errors.				The site pla the <b>mini</b> cont	an/detailed dra <b>mum</b> requirem ains <b>some err</b>	wing meets nents but rors.	The site plan/o contains <b>less th</b> requirements an <b>er</b> i	detailed drawing nan the minimum nd contains many rors.	Little or no evidence of required views		required
The correct drawing method and presentation PAT 1: 2-point perspective PAT 2: Isometric		Thoroug pictorial of answer m reflect proportion no/a few e is ver	h knowledge o drawing metho eets the requir s the correct s of all the feato errors and the ry good/outsta	f the correct od and the ements and size and ures and has presentation nding.	Satisfactor pictorial d answer me reflects proportion contains presen	Satisfactory knowledge of the correct pictorial drawing method and the answer meets the requirements and reflects the correct size and proportion of most of the features but contains some errors and the presentation is satisfactory.			ge of the pictorial d is shown, but the poor or incorrect <b>rtion</b> and many of ntain <b>many errors</b> <b>intation</b> is <b>poor</b> .	Little or no evidence of required drawings		required		

# ANNEXURE C

# RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING DRAWING METHOD, SKILLS AND PRESENTATION

		LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE												
<b>P</b> .4			10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
MARK ALLOCATION		100%	99%–90%	89%-80%	79%–70%	69%–60%	59%–50%	49%–40%	39%–30%	29%–20%	19%–1%	0%		
nd drawing	TECHNIQUE	The drawings display a correct freehand drawing technique as well as good proportion and size	The drawing technique outstand	s display excell and all the fea ling proportion	ent drawing tures show and size.	The drawings technique a satisfact	display satisfa nd most/some cory proportion	<b>ctory drawing</b> features show and <b>size</b> .	The drawing drawing tech features show r and	The drawings display very <b>poor</b> drawing technique and the features show very little or no correct proportion.				
Freehai	Fin <b>nea</b> of I	al drawing presentation is at and there is consistency ine work/line quality and printing.	Drawings are quality, pri <b>outsta</b>	very neat and a nting and dimen nding and cons	ll line work/line sioning are sistent.	Drawings are printing and dir and	neat and line w mensioning are mostly consis	ork/line quality, generally good tent.	Drawings ar inconsistent line printing and	e <b>untidy</b> with work/line quality, dimensioning.	The line work/line quality, printing and dimensioning are <b>unacceptable</b> .			
strument ving	TECHNIQUE	The drawings display the correct use of drawing instruments, drawing methods and techniques.	The drawin drawing <b>inst</b> i applicatior	gs display the co ruments and an o of drawing me techniques.	orrect use of outstanding athods and	The drawin drawing instru mostly con meth	gs display the c iments and a s irrect application iods and techn	orrect use of atisfactory and of drawing iques.	The drawings d use of drawing <b>ir</b> <b>poor</b> and <b>of</b> application of <b>d</b> <b>and tec</b>	The drawings display an incorrect use of drawing instruments with incorrect applications of drawing methods and techniques.				
Pencil in drav	The final drawing presentation is <b>neat</b> and there is consistency of <b>line</b> work/line quality and printing.				Drawings are v quality, pri <b>generally g</b>	very neat and th nting and dimer ood and mostly	ne line work/line isioning are <b>/ consistent</b> .	Drawings are <b>ui</b> work/line qual dimensioning a	ntidy and the line ty, printing and re <b>inconsistent</b> .	The line work/line quality, printing and dimensioning are <b>unacceptable</b> .		iality, ing are		
			(ANNEX	URE D) RUE	BRIC FOR AS	SESSING C	AD DRAWIN	G SKILLS, KI	NOWLEDGE A	ND ABILITY				
AD drawing	TECHNIQUE	The level of competence displayed in using a CAD system CAD system					satisfactory le d ability in using	<b>vel</b> of skills, a <b>CAD</b> system	Displays a <b>poo</b> knowledge and CAD	<b>or level</b> of skills, ability in using a system	Shows <b>little to no skills</b> , knowledge or ability in using a <b>CAD</b> system		<b>kills</b> , using a	
Ö	Th	e layout and correctness of the final drawing presentation	100%–80	9%	79%–70%	69%–609	%	59%–50%	49%–40%	39%–30%		29%–0%		

# ANNEXURE D

### A RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING CAD DRAWING SKILLS, KNOWLEDGE AND ABILITY

LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE												
MARK	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
ALLOCATION	100%	99%–90%	89%-80%	79%–70%	69%–60%	59%–50%	49%–40%	39%–30%	29%–20%	19%–1%	0%	
Set up a drawing interface	Is able to set any assistar skills,	up a drawing int nce, displaying a knowledge and	erface without high level of ability	Is able to set little assistance of skills	up a drawing i e, displaying a s, knowledge a	nterface with a satisfactory level nd ability	Is able to set up a dr some assistance d skills, knowled	awing interface with isplaying a lack of ge and ability	Shows little to no understanding of setting up a drawing interface			
Set up a 2-D/3-D drawing environment	Is able to environme displaying a	set up a 2-D/3- ent without any a high level of skil and ability	D drawing assistance, ls, knowledge	Is able to environment w a satisfactory	set up a 2-D/3 ith a little assis level of skills, ability	-D drawing tance, displaying knowledge and	Is able to set up a environment with displaying a lack of s abi	Shows little to no understanding of setting up a 2-D/3-D drawing environment				
Set up layers with properties assigned to each layer	Is able to set u to each la displaying a	up layers and as yer without any high level of skil and ability	sign properties assistance ls, knowledge	Is able to set u to each la displaying kn	up layers and a over with a little a satisfactory l owledge and a	ssign properties assistance, evel of skills, bility	Is able to set up la properties to each assistance, display knowledge	Shows little to no ability to set up layers and assign properties to each layer				
Set up a drawing sheet with a border and a title block	Is able to set u and a title b displaying a	p a drawing she lock without any high level of skil and ability	et with a border assistance, ls, knowledge	Is able to set u and a title b displaying kn	p a drawing sh block with som a satisfactory l owledge and a	eet with a border e assistance, evel of skills, bility	Is able to set up a d border and a title assistance, display knowledge	Shows little to no ability to set up a drawing sheet with a border and a title block		et up a · and a		
Show evidence of the correct use of the drawing tools	Thorougl shown of usi	n and detailed en ng the drawing t	vidence is ools correctly.	Satisfactory evidence is shown of using the drawing tools correctly.			Limited evidence is drawing too	shown of using the s correctly.	Little to no evidence is shown of using the drawing tools correctly.			
Show ability to save and retrieve work	Is able to save assistance, d kn	e and retrieve we lisplaying a high owledge and ab	ork without any level of skills, ility	Is able to save assistance, di skills,	e and retrieve isplaying a sati knowledge an	work with a little sfactory level of d ability	Is able to save and some assistance, o skills, knowled	retrieve work with lisplaying a lack of ge and ability	Shows little to no ability to save/retrieve work		to	
Show ability to plot a drawing	Is able to assistance, d kn	plot a drawing v lisplaying a high owledge and ab	/ithout any level of skills, ility	Is able to plot a drawing with a little assistance, displaying a satisfactory level of skills, knowledge and ability			Is able to plot a di assistance, display knowledge	Shows little to no ability to plot work		o plot		
The <b>layout</b> and correctness of the final drawing presentation	100%-80	%	79%–70%	69%–60%	/o	59%–50%	49%–40%	49%-40% 39%-30%		29%–0%		



# DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY

To be submitted with each learner's Practical Assessment Task portfolio

NAME OF THE SCHOOL: .....

EXAMINATION NUMBER: .....

I hereby declare that all the contents of the Practical Assessment Task submitted by myself for assessment is my own original work and has not been plagiarised, copied from someone else or previously submitted for assessment.

SIGNATURE OF CANDIDATE

As far as I know, the above declaration by the candidate is true and I accept that the PAT offered is his/her own work.

SIGNATURE OF TEACHER

**DATE** (DD / MM / YYYY)

SCHOOL STAMP

Copyright reserved

DATE (DD / MM / YYYY)