



basic education

Department:
Basic Education
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH HOME LANGUAGE P3

FEBRUARY/MARCH 2015

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 100

This memorandum consists of 8 pages.

INFORMATION FOR THE MARKER

In assessing a candidate's work, the following aspects, among others, drawn from the assessment rubric, must be borne in mind:

- The overall effect of planning, drafting, proofreading and editing of the work on the final text produced.
- Awareness of writing for a specific purpose, audience and context – as well as register, style and tone – especially in SECTION B.
- Grammar, spelling and punctuation.
- Language structures, including an awareness of critical language.
- Choice of words and idiomatic language.
- Sentence construction.
- Paragraphing.
- Interpretation of the topic that will be reflected in the overall content: the introduction, the development of ideas and the conclusion.

SUGGESTED APPROACH TO MARKING**SECTION A: ESSAY**

Refer to SECTION A: Rubric for Assessing an Essay found on page 6 and 7 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT	
CRITERIA	MARKS
CONTENT AND PLANNING	30
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	15
STRUCTURE	5
TOTAL	50

1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT AND PLANNING.
2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
3. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for STRUCTURE.

SECTION B: TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS

Refer to SECTION B: Rubric for Assessing Transactional Texts found on page 8 of this memorandum.

CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT	
CRITERIA	MARKS
CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT	15
LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING	10
TOTAL	25

1. Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

NOTE:

- The points given below each topic in this memorandum serve only as a guide to markers.
- Allowance must be made for a candidate's own interpretation of the topic, even if it differs from the given points or a marker's own views or interpretations.

SECTION A: ESSAY**QUESTION 1**

Candidates are required to write ONE essay of 400–450 words (2–2½ pages) on ONE of the given topics. **Candidates may write in any genre: narrative, descriptive, reflective, argumentative, discursive, or any combination of these.**

1.1 A handful of miracles

- Credit a variety of interpretations. [50]

1.2 Secrecy

- Credit various interpretations of the topic. [50]

1.3 'The soul would have no rainbow, if the eyes had no tears.'
(Indigenous American proverb)

- Might deal with the concept of struggle and resilience/wisdom/success.
- Candidates might wholly/partly disagree with the statement. [50]

1.4 A borderless world

- Candidates should explore the idea of a free world without restrictions.
- Consider philosophical, personal or factual responses. [50]

1.5 'What is freedom of expression? Without the freedom to offend, it ceases to exist.'
(Salman Rushdie)

- The crux of the topic is a strong defence of freedom of speech
- Accept views that are in favour of, or opposed to, the topic. [50]

1.6 NOTE: There must be a clear link between the essay and the picture chosen.**1.6.1 Crying boy playing the violin**

- Candidates must focus on the boy/s and the violin/s.
- Accept literal/figurative/mixed responses. [50]

1.6.2 Goldfish and two bowls

- Credit literal, figurative and mixed interpretations. [50]

1.6.3 Rural setting

- Candidates may explore ideas related to village life/rural settings/poverty/a holiday at a resort.
- Accept literal/figurative/mixed responses. [50]

TOTAL SECTION A: 50

SECTION B: TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS**QUESTION 2**

Candidates are required to respond to TWO of the topics set. The body of the response should be 180–200 words (20–25 lines) in length. The language, register, style and tone must be appropriate to the context.

2.1 FORMAL LETTER

- Format: own address, date, details of the addressee, salutation, subject line and signing off.
- Candidates should indicate the form of assistance being offered.
- They could include reasons for offering the support.

[25]**2.2 SPEECH**

- Any issue affecting young people may be addressed.

[25]**2.3 OBITUARY**

- Format: headline; by-line.
- Content can be adversely critical/complimentary or both.

[25]**2.4 FORMAL REPORT**

- Format: title; introduction/background; body; conclusion; recommendations.
- Formal language and style essential.
- Exact feedback of the investigation and the findings.

[25]**2.5 LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- Format: own address, date, details of the addressee, salutation, subject line and signing off.
- Candidates should focus on the state of health care in hospitals.
- Credit responses that include suggestions for improvement.

[25]**2.6 BOOK REVIEW**

- Format: headline; by-line.
- The candidate needs to refer to the title of the book.
- Candidates should review the contents of the book, not merely the cover.

[25]

TOTAL SECTION B (2 x 25): 50
GRAND TOTAL: 100

SECTION A: ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE [50 MARKS]

Criteria		Exceptional	Skilful	Moderate	Elementary	Inadequate
CONTENT & PLANNING		28–30	22–24	16–18	10–12	4–6
(Response and ideas) Organisation of ideas for planning; Awareness of purpose, audience and context	Upper level	-Outstanding/Striking response beyond normal expectations -Intelligent, thought-provoking and mature ideas -Exceptionally well organised and coherent, including introduction, body and conclusion	-Very well-crafted response -Fully relevant and interesting ideas with evidence of maturity -Very well organised and coherent, including introduction, body and conclusion	-Satisfactory response - Ideas are reasonably coherent and convincing -Reasonably organised and coherent, including introduction, body and conclusion	-Inconsistently coherent response -Unclear ideas and unoriginal -Little evidence of organisation and coherence	-Totally irrelevant response -Confused and unfocused ideas -Vague and repetitive -Disorganised and incoherent
30 MARKS		25–27	19–21	13–15	7–9	0–3
	Lower level	-Excellent response but lacks the exceptionally striking qualities of the outstanding essay -Mature and intelligent ideas -Skilfully organised and coherent (connected), including introduction, body and conclusion	-Well-crafted response -Relevant and interesting ideas -Well organised and coherent (connected), including introduction, body and conclusion	-Satisfactory response but some lapses in clarity -Ideas are fairly coherent and convincing -Some degree of organisation and coherence, including introduction, body and conclusion	-Largely irrelevant response -Ideas tend to be disconnected and confusing -Hardly any evidence of organisation and coherence	-No attempt to respond to the topic -Completely irrelevant and inappropriate -Unfocused and muddled

SECTION A: ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE [50 MARKS] (continued)

Criteria		Exceptional	Skilful	Moderate	Elementary	Inadequate
		14–15	11–12	8–9	5–6	0–3
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING Tone, register, style, vocabulary appropriate to purpose/effect and context; Word choice; Language use and conventions, punctuation, grammar, spelling 15 MARKS	Upper level	-Tone, register, style and vocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Language confident, exceptionally impressive -Compelling and rhetorically effective in tone -Virtually error-free in grammar and spelling - Very skilfully crafted	-Tone, register, style and vocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Language is effective and a consistently appropriate tone is used -Largely error-free in grammar and spelling -Very well crafted	-Tone, register, style and vocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Appropriate use of language to convey meaning -Tone is appropriate -Rhetorical devices used to enhance content	-Tone, register, style and vocabulary not appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Very basic use of language -Tone and diction are inappropriate -Very limited vocabulary	-Tone, register, style and vocabulary less appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Language incomprehensible -Vocabulary limitations so extreme as to make comprehension impossible
	Lower level	13 -Language excellent and rhetorically effective in tone -Virtually error-free in grammar and spelling -Skilfully crafted	10 -Language engaging and generally effective -Appropriate and effective tone -Few errors in grammar and spelling -Well crafted	7 -Adequate use of language with some inconsistencies -Tone generally appropriate and limited use of rhetorical devices	4 -Inadequate use of language -Little or no variety in sentence -Exceptionally limited vocabulary	
STRUCTURE Features of text; Paragraph development and sentence construction 5 MARKS		5	4	3	2	0–1
		-Excellent development of topic -Exceptional detail -Sentences, paragraphs exceptionally well-constructed	-Logical development of details -Coherent -Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied	-Relevant details developed -Sentences, paragraphs well-constructed -Essay make sense	-Some valid points -Sentences and paragraphs faulty -Essay still makes some sense	-Necessary points lacking -Sentences and paragraphs faulty -Essay lacks sense
MARK RANGE		43–50	33–40	23–30	13–20	0–10

SECTION B: ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR TRANSACTIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE [25 MARKS]

Criteria	Exceptional	Skilful	Moderate	Elementary	Inadequate
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT Response and ideas; Organisation of ideas for planning; Purpose, audience, features/conventions and context 15 MARKS	13–15 -Outstanding response beyond normal expectations -Intelligent and mature ideas -Extensive knowledge of features of the type of text -Writing maintains focus -Coherence in content and ideas -Highly elaborated and all details support the topic -Appropriate and accurate format	10–12 -Very good response demonstrating good knowledge of features of the type of text -Maintains focus – no digressions -Coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and details support topic -Appropriate format with minor inaccuracies	7–9 -Adequate response demonstrating knowledge of features of the type of text -Not completely focused – some digressions -Reasonably coherent in content and ideas -Some details support the topic -Generally appropriate format but with some inaccuracies	4–6 -Basic response demonstrating some knowledge of features of the type of text -Some focus but writing digresses -Not always coherent in content and ideas Few details support the topic -Has vaguely applied necessary rules of format -Some critical oversights	0–3 -Response reveals no knowledge of features of the type of text -Meaning is obscure with major digressions -Not coherent in content and ideas -Very few details support the topic -Has not applied necessary rules of format
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING Tone, register, style, purpose/effect, audience and context; Language use and conventions; Word choice; Punctuation and spelling 10 MARKS	9–10 -Tone, register, style and vocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Grammatically accurate and well-constructed -Virtually error-free	7–8 -Tone, register, style and vocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Generally grammatically accurate and well-constructed -Very good vocabulary -Mostly free of errors	5–6 -Tone, register, style and vocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Some grammatical errors -Adequate vocabulary -Errors do not impede meaning	3–4 -Tone, register, style and vocabulary less appropriate to purpose, audience and context -Inaccurate grammar with numerous errors -Limited vocabulary -Meaning obscured	0–2 -Tone, register, style and vocabulary do not correspond to purpose, audience and context -Error-ridden and confused -Vocabulary not suitable for purpose -Meaning seriously impaired
MARK RANGE	22–25	17–20	12–15	7–10	0–5