



EXAMINATIONS AND ASSESSMENT CHIEF DIRECTORATE Home of Examinations and Assessment, Zone 6, Zwelitsha, 5600 REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, Website: www.ecdoe.gov.za

2023 NSC CHIEF MARKER'S REPORT

SUBJECT	TECHNICAL MATHEMATICS	
QUESTION PAPER	1 2 3	
DURATION OF QUESTION PAPER	3 HRS	
PROVINCE	EASTERN CAPE	
DATES OF MARKING	05 – 18 DECEMBER 2023	

SECTION 1: (General overview of Learner Performance in the question paper as a whole)

The number of Eastern Cape NSC candidates that wrote the 2023 final NSC Technical Mathematics paper 2 was 2728. Highest mark recorded as 144 and another two candidates recorded marks of 135.

The number of level five to seven candidates shows an increase in comparison with 2022, further number of level seven candidates have increased over 100%.

The number of level ones decreased as well, and this shows that the system is starting to improve. Teachers and learners are getting used to the curriculum. Yes, there are still many challenges because the bulk of the learners is still performing at level one. Disappointing is the fact that there are still learners scoring no marks for this paper and marking batches of which none of the candidates were passing.

A sample of 100 scripts was collected during the marking process. The sample space comprised off 30% levels one and two: 43% levels three and four and 27% levels five to seven.



Q2	Analytical Geometry: circle & ellipse
Q3	Trigonometry: definitions, diagrams & equations
Q4	Trigonometry: identities & reductions
Q5	Trigonometry: graphs
Q6	Trigonometry: 2D
Q7	Euclidean Geometry: circle
Q8	Euclidean Geometry: circle
Q9	Euclidean Geometry: proportion
Q10	Angles, and angular movement; height of segment
Q11	Mensuration

The questions as ordered from high to low by the performance of the sampled data are indicated in the diagram below:



- The average performance in sampled candidates stands at 49%. This higher than previous years.
- Question two was the best performing question with question five the worst performing question.

• The expectation is that the performance of the 2023 candidates will be better than 2022.

Reality of the matter is that most of the learners are failing the examination and the graph would be skewed to the right or clustered to the left, to the level ones. However, the number of level ones have drastically reduced.

The report will attempt to address the following questions:

- (a) General comment on the performance of learners in the specific question. Was the question well answered or poorly answered?
- (b) Why the question was poorly answered? Also provide specific examples, indicate common errors committed by learners in this question, and any misconceptions.
- (a) Provide suggestions for improvement in relation to Teaching and Learning
- (b) Describe any other specific observations relating to responses of learners and comments that are useful to teachers, subject advisors, teacher development etc.





is to use the formula $xx_1 + yy_1 = r^2$

QUESTION 3 [Total marks 14]

• This was the second best answered question, drop off more than 26% in comparison with question 2.



- Almost 60% of the candidates could not get the correct answer
- Q3.3 was surprisingly poorly answered.

Common errors and misconceptions

- Q3.1.2: many candidates confused the reciprocal identity of secant
- Q3.2:
 - many candidates could not identify the correct quadrant of the angle and therefore the diagram, thus they did not realise that x = -3
 - $_{\odot}$ Surprisingly, many learners struggle applying Pythagoras theorem correctly even substituting the radius as -5.
 - Many do not understand that the hypotenuse is the longest side of a right-angle triangle
 - Many candidates do not understand their definitions of the ratios as they could not correctly identify the values of $x, y \ OR \ r$. With the results many ended up with one of the sides longer than the hypotenuse.
 - o Q3.2.1:
 - Still too many candidates wrote incorrectly $cosec\left(-\frac{5}{4}\right)$, although not penalised this time around, in the future learners will be penalised.
 - Many could not read off the cosecant definition directly from the given sine ratio
 - Many candidates ignored the negative sign
 - o Q3.2.2
 - A few learners calculated the value of β, whilst the question clearly stipulated without a calculator: these learners scored no marks for Q3.2.2.
 - Not showing all work, especially if a mistake was made, no CA marks could be awarded.

• Q3.3: many candidates only calculated up to the reference angle whilst others only calculate the obtuse angle and totalling ignoring the other angle. Further, many candidates wrote reference angle with an obtuse answer.

Suggestions for improvement

- i.) Learners must be able to correctly distinguish between the ratio and its angle.
- ii.) The use of reciprocal ratios and the calculator must be practice more often
- iii.) trig ratio (angle) = value vs the incorrect statement trig ratio (value)
- iv.) Learners must practice determining the correct quadrant of angles and diagrams in different scenarios
- v.) Further, the hypotenuse is the longest side of a right-angled triangle
- vi.) Learners must make sure the calculators as set in degrees mode
- vii.) Learners must be more exposed to equations where their values are negative
- viii.) The word reference angle is reserved for a positive acute angle









QUESTION 8 [Total marks 26]

- This question performed at 41% average. •
- Better performance in comparison with previous years •



QUESTION 9 [Total marks 7]

- Far better performance as in comparison with previous years.
- The question performed at 51% average.
- Fifth best question of the paper.
- In the past this question was reserved for proportions and similarity.
- The similarity part was asked in question 8.



- Only two learners scored full marks for this question, whilst 12% of sampled candidates scored no marks.
- Q9.1: 87% of sampled candidates did not score any marks
- Q9.2: 79% of sampled candidates scored full marks
- Q9.3: 77% of sampled candidates did not score any marks

Common errors and misconceptions

- Q9.1: many candidates left out mentioning of the parallel lines
- Q9.3: completing the statement and providing the correct reasons was again the problem
- Q9.2 & Q9.4: many candidates attempted to use Pythagoras to solve the problem.

Suggestions for improvement

- i.) The use of correct reasons by examination guidelines must become a norm in the classroom
- ii.) The direct use of Pythagoras is only applicable in a right-angled triangle

QUESTION 10 [Total marks 20]





- None of the sampled candidates could score full marks, but two scored 19 out of 20.
- Only three sampled candidates scored no marks for this question.
- Q10.1.4 b): 42% of sampled candidates did not score any marks, whilst 16% scored full marks
- Q10.2.1: only 26% of the sampled candidates could calculate the correct height.

Common errors and misconceptions

- Q10.1.4 b): Most candidates did not know that they had to equate the circumferential velocity of the two pulleys to able to calculate the rotational frequency
- Q10.2.1: Many candidates just wrote 0,72 as their answer, the value with which the height is increased, instead of adding this value to the breadth of the board, this was a reading challenge.

Suggestions for improvement

- i.) Reading with or for understanding seems to be a challenge that needs a collective effort from all parties.
- ii.) In this section, learners must remember that when we work with angles that $\pi = 180^{\circ}$ and to convert degrees to radians we calculate it as follows: angle in degrees $\times \frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}$ and if want to convert radians to degrees as follows: angle in radians $\times \frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi}$
- iii.) If we work with velocity $\pi \approx 3,14$



decreased